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Abstract
Adopting a system-on-chip approach, this paper presents an integrated FPGA-based Electronic Speed Control (ESC) for driving brushless DC electric motors. This allows for sensing, computation, and higher control bandwidth than traditional off-the-shelf ESCs (typically 50Hz). In addition to a more compact and flexible package, this provides greater system awareness and facilitates a faster control loop rate, which are useful for agile robotic systems, such as UAVs.

This design has been tested in conjunction with a custom, compact quadrotor system. It provides reduced payload and increased robustness compared to traditional controllers.

1 Introduction
Unmanned vehicles, particularly Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), have the potential to make remote operations cheaper, safer, and more accessible [Meyers et al., 2007]. Urban applications, such as assisting police, fire department and emergency services, require UAVs to be flown in constrained environments. Flying in such spaces presents several challenges, such as noise and collision risks, hence the desire for compact systems with lower speeds and masses.

This cannot be achieved while being slow and passive as urban operations still require high manoeuvrability; thus, the need for efficient motor actuator performance and faster control loop rates so as to exploit non-linear platform characteristics. This is particularly the case for Brushless DC (BLDC) motors as integrated sensing allows for variable commutation patterns giving precise control over motor RPM and torque.

This paper develops and analyses a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) based Electronic Speed Controller (ESC) that can be integrated into an existing FPGA solution with the addition of supporting motor driving circuits (e.g., high-current MOSFETs in a H-bridge configuration). This is integrated into the design of a light (2 kg) semi-autonomous quadrotor (see Figure 1). In comparison to off-the-shelf ESCs that limit control to 50Hz [Meyers et al., 2007] and provide no feedback, this provides improved modularity and system integration and allows for improved control algorithms and fault detection.

2 Electric Motor and ESC Background
Brushless DC motors provide higher power density and efficiency compared to brushed DC motor architectures, but require careful driving and commutation, leading to system complexity [Singh and Singh, 2009]. They are becoming increasingly popular as they are compact in size and have good power density, controllability and efficiency while avoiding the maintenance, acoustic noise and electromagnetic interference of brushed DC motors [Torres, 2008; Miller, 1989; Atmel, 2005]. The motors are also continually improving and decreasing in cost due to advances in permanent magnets, structural design and control [Singh and Singh, 2009]. Due to these advantages BLDC motors are the most commonly used motors in UAV technology.

Figure 1 Quadrotor platform with FPGA board
Figure 2 A sensorless BLDC motor
Most BLDC motors have three terminals as shown in Figure 2 [Brown, 2002]. These terminals are connected to stator windings (also known as phases) in a Y configuration as shown in Figure 3. The centre point of the Y is referred to as the neutral point of the motor. Permanent magnets are positioned on the rotor such that the poles facing the stator alternate between north and south.

![Figure 3 Electrical and mechanical model of BLDC](image)

An ESC for a BLDC motor controls the rotation of the motor by selectively energising its phases. When current is driven through the stator windings the moving electric charge interacts with the rotor magnetic field and generates force on the rotor which can cause it to rotate. The controller can operate a BLDC motor like a stepper motor without feedback. However, if the rotor position is known, then the controller can calculate the optimal windings to energize at any point in time to achieve the desired rotation speed and torque more efficiently. Many of the BLDC motors available do not have direct sensing for detecting the position of the rotor. However, this information can be observed by looking at the Back Electromotive Force (back-EMF). The back-EMF and current within a phase winding must be in phase so as to maximise the amount of positive torque and minimise the amount of negative torque generated. Alternatively, the current and back-EMF can be out of phase by 180° to maximise the amount of negative torque generated. Provided the torque generated is predominately positive or predominately negative, the motor will rotate. Furthermore, the shape of the current waveform does not matter provided the back-EMF and current are mostly the same sign (maximising positive torque) or mostly the opposite sign (maximising negative torque) at the same times. If torque is not consistently generated in a single direction the rotor will be accelerated and decelerated reducing efficiency. This is known as cogging torque.

Constant torque operation is by far the most common method of operation [Gamazo-Real et al., 2010] and is applicable to UAVs. To achieve constant torque the currents in the three phases of a BLDC should be trapezoidal and out of phase by 120°. Furthermore, the current and back-EMF within each phase winding should be in phase. This is most commonly achieved by applying a high voltage to one phase, a low voltage to another phase, and leaving the remaining phase floating.

### 3 ESC Design and Operation

A three-phase inverter design was chosen to control the BLDC motor terminal voltages. This design uses three MOSFET half-bridges as shown in Figure 4. The common six step commutation pattern shown in Figure 5 is used in conjunction with this design. Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) is only applied to the low side MOSFETs. The rotor position sensing algorithm which has been implemented is not affected by the PWM pattern therefore the pattern was chosen to reduce switching losses and match the commercial ESCs already in use for ease of comparison.

![Figure 4 Three phase voltage inverter connected to BLDC motor](image)

![Figure 5 Voltages applied to 3 phases of BLDC motor during commutation pattern](image)

![Figure 6 Flow diagram of the logic for acceleration using open loop control](image)
Torque is generated by applying any of the commutation steps in Figure 5 to the terminals of a BLDC motor. This locks the rotor into a single position. Stepping through the commutation steps in order will then cause the rotor to rotate clockwise or anticlockwise based on how the stator has been wired and connected to the three phase inverter.

3.1 Open Loop Ramping

Rotor position sensing is accomplished using phase back-EMF which is proportional to the rotational speed of the rotor. The particular method implemented for this system does not work below approximately 1000 RPM as the back-EMF waveforms used for sensing do not have sufficient amplitude. To accelerate the rotor to a sufficient speed to sense rotor position the ESC operates the motor using open loop control. To begin from standstill the motor is aligned to a known position by applying the voltages of a single commutation step. The ESC then steps through the commutation pattern detailed in Figure 5 at increasing frequency. Each time the commutation rate is increased the rotor must be given time to accelerate to the new speed. This is referred to as open loop ramping [Brown, 2002]. During this ramping process 16% duty cycle is used. This duty cycle has been experimentally shown to generate sufficient torque to drive almost all BLDC motors. A flow diagram of the open loop ramping process is shown in Figure 6.

3.2 Sensing Rotor Position Using Back-EMF

The back-EMF on the three phases of a BLDC motor varies with rotor position and can be used for rotor position sensing. The method implemented involves extracting the back-EMF of each phase by reconstructing the virtual neutral point at the centre of the Y connected phases and subtracting this from each terminal voltage. The neutral point is not exposed in most BLDC motors so the virtual neutral point must be reconstructed using a Y connected resistor network or the equation \( v_n = (v_a + v_b + v_c)/3 \) [Torres, 2008]. The back-EMF can then be calculated for each phase:

\[
\begin{align*}
  e_a &= v_a - v_n \\
  e_b &= v_b - v_n \\
  e_c &= v_c - v_n
\end{align*}
\]

These equations assume that no current is flowing in the phase being measured so the equations only hold for the phase that is floating. Using these equations the back-EMF of each phase can be approximately calculated in software or FPGA hardware using only the three terminal voltages. The calculated back-EMF can then be used to determine when the controller should commutate to the next commutation step; when the trapezoidal back-EMF on the floating phase passes through zero the controller should optimally be half way through the commutation period as shown in Figure 7.

3.3 Closed Loop Control

Once the rotor is ramped up to a sufficient speed using open loop control the back-EMF is large enough that zero crossing points can be accurately detected. At this point the ESC changes to closed loop control. This mode is composed of two separate but related functionalities: (1) commutation control and (2) speed control. The commutation controller detects back-EMF zero crossings and uses this information to determine when to commutate to ensure that the current and back-EMF waveforms are kept in phase. This results in constant torque operation. The speed controller alters the PWM duty cycle to bring the actual commutation rate of the commutation controller closer to the desired commutation rate. This desired commutation rate is currently set by a testing framework, but will eventually be set by a UAV stability controller.

![Figure 7 Commutation pattern and corresponding back-EMF (thick line)](image)

**Commutation Controller**

The commutation controller is the most complex component of the ESC. It is responsible for ensuring that the current in the stator phases applies torque to the rotor in only a single direction. The commutation pattern in use requires that the current and ESC waveforms must be in phase plus or minus 30°. They must also have the same period. For example, if the load on the rotor suddenly changes then the rotor will accelerate and the back-EMF period will change. If the ESC continues commutating at the same speed the back-EMF and phase currents will have different periods. This will result in accelerating and decelerating torques being applied to the rotor. These competing forces will cause the rotor to continue decelerating, becoming increasingly out of alignment with the phase currents until it stops moving completely. This is referred to as the rotor losing lock with the commutation pattern and happens whenever the commutation rate is too far above the actual speed of the rotor.

To avoid loss of lock the commutation controller tries to match the commutation rate to the rotation of the rotor. In this way it acts much like the mechanical brushes on a DC motor. To achieve this it uses the back-EMF of the floating phase. Straight after the commutation controller has commutated it continually checks whether the back-EMF on the currently floating phase has passed through zero. It also counts how much time has elapsed since the last commutation. Once a zero crossing is detected it waits the same amount of time and then commutates. This puts the zero crossing in the centre of the commutation step and keeps the current and back-EMF in phase.

With sudden changes in motor load or when transitioning from open to closed loop control the back-EMF can become more than 30° out of phase with the commutation pattern. In this case the zero crossing can occur before the commutation period. Given the ESC knows whether the back-EMF should be rising or falling based on the current commutation step, it can detect this premature zero crossing and commutate immediately.
It is possible that this method will still lose lock if the load changes extremely quickly. If a zero crossing is not detected within a certain time after commutation the motor is assumed to have lost lock. In this case the speed of the rotor is unknown and the controller restarts the open loop ramping process. The logic of the commutation controller is summarised in Figure 8.

**Speed Controller**

The commutation controller handles the complexity of keeping the rotor in lock with the commutation pattern. The speed controller is then responsible for modulating the amount of torque applied to the rotor. This is accomplished by changing the PWM duty cycle and the corresponding current flowing through the stator phases. When more current is applied the rotor will accelerate and vice versa. The commutation controller detects this change in speed via the back-EMF and modifies the commutation rate to match.

![Figure 8 Commutation controller logic flow diagram](image)

While the PWM duty cycle can be changed instantly the rotor cannot change speed instantaneously. If the rotor is rotating at low speed and the PWM duty cycle is high, a potentially damaging amount of current may flow. If the rotor is rotating at high speed this is not a problem because the back-EMF is much larger and cancels out much of the increased voltage. The speed controller therefore must balance the magnitude of acceleration with the magnitude of current draw.

The other concern of the speed controller is a typical control system problem. Given the motor takes time to respond to changes in the duty cycle and corresponding torque the speed of the rotor can overshoot the desired speed. The controller therefore needs to be tuned so that the rotor reaches the desired speed as quickly as possible without ringing. This was achieved using a Proportional controller with the error between desired and actual speed as the input and duty cycle as the output.

### 4 FPGA System Overview

The entire system developed is shown in Figure 9. This system spans electronic circuitry, FPGA logic, embedded and PC software and includes the developed ESC as well as functionality for logging and profiling ESC performance. At the core of the system are two soft-core processors, one which handles commutation timing and speed control for the ESC and the other which handles logging, user control, ESC profiling and output of data to a PC. These processors have access to all of the FPGA cores implemented on the FPGA via a Processor Local Bus (PLB).

![Figure 9 Information flow diagram of ESC and support framework](image)
through a test pattern of a number of speeds. The support processor can also control commercial ESCs using a PWM generator and can run this same automated test pattern. This allows for the performance of the custom ESC and commercial ESCs to be tested. To collect information about how the motor is performing during one of these tests a motor profiling PCB was added which contains a current sensor and an RPM sensor. The support processor is capable of logging to off-chip RAM and then transmits this data over RS232 to a PC for analysis in MATLAB.

4.1 Design Process

The system is based on a modular design to ease development and debugging. To achieve this all of the FPGA cores were designed to use a register based interface. This means they can be memory mapped into software over a bus as well as controlled by other FPGA cores. This system is very flexible; cores can be connected to each other in a modular chain so different elements can be replaced or updated and all of the registers of each core can be logged from software without any hardware changes. Cores can also be switched off in real time and their behaviour emulated in software to rapidly prototype changes or to test lower level cores. Most of the cores also extensively use VHDL generics and so can easily be reconfigured and reused.

The first attempt to achieve a register based interface used an array of std_logic_vectors. While simple to implement this meant that every register had to be the same size. It was not anticipated this would be a problem. However, when the back-EMF analyser and commutator were synthesised together their resource utilisation became unfeasible due to the width of each variable being much wider than needed. This was not as much of a concern with the PWM generator so it still uses this design.

To address this issue every register was separately declared as a signal. However, it soon became clear this was not an ideal design either; each register needed to be declared multiple times as a port, signal and variable. With so many registers this resulted in extremely verbose code.

The final design used VHDL records. These records group together related signals and allow all of the registers to be declared using a single line of code. While a better solution, the record still needed to be converted to an array of registers so it could be read and written to by a PLB bus. This was accomplished by encapsulating the conversion of the record to an array of registers and the conversion of the array back to a record within a package.

The complexity of the system made developing the FPGA logic a challenge. This was exacerbated by the time required to re-synthesise the entire system each time any FPGA logic needed to be changed. Consequently, the decision about when to move logic into hardware was difficult; while hardware was faster it was also much slower to develop and test. This was mediated somewhat by the extensive use of test benches to verify hardware was functioning correctly before it was integrated into the system.

Nevertheless, development of the FPGA logic was time consuming. To try and avoid this problem logic was kept in software for as long as possible. Only once it was clear that it would not need to be significantly changed was it ported to hardware.

The problem with this approach was that the soft-core processor used in the project, the MicroBlaze, is relatively slow and has limited functionality. It must be augmented by adding custom logic to the PLB bus. Consequently, to keep logic in software often required significant changes to the processor and supporting hardware to make it more efficient. Eventually one processor was no longer sufficient so a second processor was added to handle logging, testing and user interaction. The primary processor was then responsible only for commutation and speed control. The two processors communicate using shared Block RAM (BRAM) over a Local Memory Bus (LMB). Each processor also has its own BRAM for storing instructions, data and the stack. The secondary processor, referred to as the support processor, is connected to off-chip RAM via a dedicated cache link and it uses this for storing data.

4.2 ESC FPGA Logic

The ESC logic consists of the modules discussed below.

PWM Generator

The first FPGA core developed was the PWM generator. This core controls the high and low side MOSFETs of the three half-bridges which make up the voltage inverter. It therefore controls the terminal voltages of the BLDC motor. The output to each MOSFET group can be constant high or low or it can be pulsed. This allows for any commutation pattern to be implemented.

Commutator

The commutator core handles commutation by communicating to the PWM generator which MOSFETs should be on, off or pulsing in a given commutation step. It also handles the timing of when to change to the next commutation step. To do this the commutator stores an array of which MOSFETs are constant or switching in a given commutation step. This configuration of the commutation pattern is performed before the commutator is enabled.

Shift Register Controller

The Shift Register Controller controls the off-chip shift register. The MOSFET state vector is clocked into the shift register at 12.5MHz. Using an external shift register reduces GPIO usage and enables glitch free switching of the MOSFETs.

ADC Sampler and Controller

An 8 channel AS1543 ADC is used to sample the motor terminal voltages. This ADC is controlled using an SPI protocol by the ADC Sampler and Controller block. A new sample is requested for a particular channel at the same time as the sample of the last requested channel is read in. The block buffers the last sample of each of the three terminals for access by the system.

Back-EMF Analyser

The back-EMF analyser is responsible for back-EMF zero crossing detection and for monitoring the maximum and minimum back-EMF on each phase in a given commutation period. The core implements the equation

$$e_x = v_x - \frac{(v_a + v_b + v_c)}{3}$$

to calculate the back-EMF on each of the three motor phases for every new reading of terminal voltage from the ADC controller core. The back-EMF analyser is connected to the commutator and so knows when a commutation has occurred. The minimum and maximum back-EMF of each phase is recorded for a given commutation step and the analyser can ignore the first n samples of a commutation step which may be corrupted by an inductive spike.
4.3 ESC Processor

The ESC processor software has three components: an open loop ramping controller, a closed loop commutation controller and a speed controller. To implement the algorithm the processor interacts with the commutator and back-EMF analyser hardware cores via memory mapped I/O.

When the ESC is first started the ESC processor enables the open loop ramping controller. When the motor reaches 1000 RPM sufficient back-EMF is generated to switch to closed loop control. This RPM threshold has been chosen based on experimental results to ensure that back-EMF zero crossings will be detectable.

To prevent the commutation rate from changing too rapidly the controller keeps a running average of the ideal commutation step length. A speed controller then determines whether this average commutation step length will lead to a motor speed higher or lower than desired and sets the PWM duty cycle accordingly.

4.4 FPGA Modules for Testing

The testing logic was structured in a similar modular manner to the ESC design. The modules are discussed below.

PWM Generator for Commercial ESC Control

The same PWM generator core used to control the custom ESC MOSFETs is replicated to control a commercial ESC for testing. The commercial ESC expects a PWM signal with a 20ms period and a maximum duty cycle of 10%. A pulse of 1ms must be sent for a minimum amount of time to arm the ESC. A value of 1.2ms then specifies 0% speed, and 2ms denotes 100% speed. No changes needed to be made to the PWM generator to support this logic.

Optical Encoder Sampler

An AEDR-8300 optical encoder was used as the RPM sensor. The optical encoder is used as an external RPM sensor to capture data for comparative analysis of the custom ESC against off-the-shelf versions.

Pmod ADC Controller

A current sensor is also required to perform analysis of ESC performance. A Digilent Pmod ADC is used to capture the analog output of the current sensor. Each Pmod ADC board contains two ADCS7476MSPS A/D converters and samples are read from these ADCs in parallel using the SPI protocol.

Support Processor

The support processor is responsible for handling user input, testing ESC performance, logging ESC terminal voltages, currents, motor RPM and various other values to RAM and outputting those values to a computer via RS232.

5 ESC Performance Results

To compare and characterise the ESC performance the ESC was tested using a pattern of desired speeds. One such test is shown in Figure 11. These results show that the ESC was able to achieve the desired motor speeds and hold them stable. There was however significant overshoot in motor RPM and current when the speed was changing. The current overshoot was expected. When the ESC increases the PWM duty cycle on the motor terminals more current initially flows. As the rotor accelerates the back-EMF increases and this reduces the current flowing through the phases. The same happens in reverse when the ESC reduces the PWM duty cycle.

In contrast, the overshoot and ringing in the RPM and current was not desirable and is strong evidence that the speed controller is underdamped. This is not surprising as the control system is very simple. The third graph (Figure 11) shows the RPM of the motor calculated based on the width of each commutation step. The RPM fluctuated rapidly around the desired RPM. This means that the width of each commutation step was changing rapidly. This is not entirely surprising as even a small change in the commutation step width is equivalent to a large change in RPM.

The current source in this case was a regulated power supply. The voltage dips correspond to the larger current draw as the motor operates at higher speed. It is important to note that the ESC continued to function correctly despite the voltage approaching 10V.
Figure 11 Performance of the ESC over a wide speed range using a Turnigy C2822-1600 BLDC motor.

Figure 12 Comparison of the performance of the custom ESC in blue and a Turnigy Trust 45A BEC ESC (in green dash-dot).
This same speed test from was performed with a commercial ESC and the performance of the two ESCs is compared in . The commercial ESC speed response does not overshoot or oscillate and yet reaches the desired value faster. The current also does not oscillate though it does overshoot as expected. The custom ESC current peaks above the desired value and takes longer to stabilise at the desired value compared to the commercial ESC.

6 Conclusions and Future Work

Small robots by design have very limited space for onboard electronics. This poses a problem when many systems, whose functionality is normally provided by separate pieces of hardware, are required to operate the robot. The proposed design solves this by merging the motor control onto the same platform as the higher level systems, using the System-on-Chip design methodology.

On the quadrotor platform the integration of the developed ESC hardware into the same processing platform as the stability controller has the potential to provide improved control over motor RPM. Although the implemented system works, a possible improvement could be a more sophisticated control algorithm to improve the underdamped response. This will improve the performance of the implemented ESC and provide further advantage over commercial off the shelf components.

The next step in this line of work will be to merge the MOSFET motor drive circuitry onto the same PCB as the FPGA. Putting the MOSFET circuitry required for motor drivers on the same board as the computational platform will reduce system size and possible electrical points of failure by reducing the number of connectors required. This is an issue for high mobility robots and will reduce the failure rate of complex systems due to simple component failures.
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